

COXEY FOUND GUILTY.

The Three Commonweal Leaders
Convicted of Carrying Banners.

Jones Acquitted of the Charge of
Walking on the Grass.

Motion Made for a New Trial by
Counsel for Defense.

(By Associated Press.)
WASHINGTON, May 8.—Congressman
L. P. Jones, of Colorado, appeared in the
police court today to make the closing
argument in behalf of the Commonweal
leaders, Marshall Carl Browne, "Gen."
Jacob Schleicher and Christopher
Columbus Jones.

His plea proved useless, for all three
of the prisoners were found guilty of the
first charge of carrying banners. On the
second charge, walking on the grass,
Coxey and Browne were convicted, but
Jones was acquitted.

A motion for a new trial was at once
made.

Several Populist Congressmen were
within the bar, and Mrs. Coxey was
asked to ask for the enactment of laws
which he and thousands of others be-
lieve will be of inestimable advantage to
the unemployed of the country.

He had some peaceably at the head of
an orderly body of men, and he had
as much right to petition Congress
as anybody else. Representatives of
large interests, including the railroads,
are now in the city, and they are
brought to corrupt Congress men with
warm welcome, but the men who
came barefooted, ranging through the
snow to be invited to the open doors of
the Capitol, are treated as criminals.

At the first time the District
Attorney, John W. Foster, came into
police court to prosecute Coxey, and
showing that the Administration was
behind the prosecution.

District Attorney Birney followed with
a calm discussion of the case.

Judge Miller, in his charge to the jury,
said Congress had the right to pass
regulations to enable it to legislate
efficiently and in order. The jury retired
at 1:05 o'clock.

At 3:30 P. M. the jury returned to the
courtroom, and their verdict was
read. Coxey, Browne and Jones were
found guilty of the first charge.

At 3:30 P. M. the jury returned to the
courtroom, and their verdict was
read. Coxey, Browne and Jones were
found guilty of the first charge.

At 3:30 P. M. the jury returned to the
courtroom, and their verdict was
read. Coxey, Browne and Jones were
found guilty of the first charge.

At 3:30 P. M. the jury returned to the
courtroom, and their verdict was
read. Coxey, Browne and Jones were
found guilty of the first charge.

At 3:30 P. M. the jury returned to the
courtroom, and their verdict was
read. Coxey, Browne and Jones were
found guilty of the first charge.

At 3:30 P. M. the jury returned to the
courtroom, and their verdict was
read. Coxey, Browne and Jones were
found guilty of the first charge.

At 3:30 P. M. the jury returned to the
courtroom, and their verdict was
read. Coxey, Browne and Jones were
found guilty of the first charge.

At 3:30 P. M. the jury returned to the
courtroom, and their verdict was
read. Coxey, Browne and Jones were
found guilty of the first charge.

At 3:30 P. M. the jury returned to the
courtroom, and their verdict was
read. Coxey, Browne and Jones were
found guilty of the first charge.

At 3:30 P. M. the jury returned to the
courtroom, and their verdict was
read. Coxey, Browne and Jones were
found guilty of the first charge.

At 3:30 P. M. the jury returned to the
courtroom, and their verdict was
read. Coxey, Browne and Jones were
found guilty of the first charge.

At 3:30 P. M. the jury returned to the
courtroom, and their verdict was
read. Coxey, Browne and Jones were
found guilty of the first charge.

At 3:30 P. M. the jury returned to the
courtroom, and their verdict was
read. Coxey, Browne and Jones were
found guilty of the first charge.

At 3:30 P. M. the jury returned to the
courtroom, and their verdict was
read. Coxey, Browne and Jones were
found guilty of the first charge.

At 3:30 P. M. the jury returned to the
courtroom, and their verdict was
read. Coxey, Browne and Jones were
found guilty of the first charge.

At 3:30 P. M. the jury returned to the
courtroom, and their verdict was
read. Coxey, Browne and Jones were
found guilty of the first charge.

At 3:30 P. M. the jury returned to the
courtroom, and their verdict was
read. Coxey, Browne and Jones were
found guilty of the first charge.

At 3:30 P. M. the jury returned to the
courtroom, and their verdict was
read. Coxey, Browne and Jones were
found guilty of the first charge.

At 3:30 P. M. the jury returned to the
courtroom, and their verdict was
read. Coxey, Browne and Jones were
found guilty of the first charge.

At 3:30 P. M. the jury returned to the
courtroom, and their verdict was
read. Coxey, Browne and Jones were
found guilty of the first charge.

At 3:30 P. M. the jury returned to the
courtroom, and their verdict was
read. Coxey, Browne and Jones were
found guilty of the first charge.

At 3:30 P. M. the jury returned to the
courtroom, and their verdict was
read. Coxey, Browne and Jones were
found guilty of the first charge.

At 3:30 P. M. the jury returned to the
courtroom, and their verdict was
read. Coxey, Browne and Jones were
found guilty of the first charge.

At 3:30 P. M. the jury returned to the
courtroom, and their verdict was
read. Coxey, Browne and Jones were
found guilty of the first charge.

At 3:30 P. M. the jury returned to the
courtroom, and their verdict was
read. Coxey, Browne and Jones were
found guilty of the first charge.

At 3:30 P. M. the jury returned to the
courtroom, and their verdict was
read. Coxey, Browne and Jones were
found guilty of the first charge.

At 3:30 P. M. the jury returned to the
courtroom, and their verdict was
read. Coxey, Browne and Jones were
found guilty of the first charge.

At 3:30 P. M. the jury returned to the
courtroom, and their verdict was
read. Coxey, Browne and Jones were
found guilty of the first charge.

At 3:30 P. M. the jury returned to the
courtroom, and their verdict was
read. Coxey, Browne and Jones were
found guilty of the first charge.

At 3:30 P. M. the jury returned to the
courtroom, and their verdict was
read. Coxey, Browne and Jones were
found guilty of the first charge.

At 3:30 P. M. the jury returned to the
courtroom, and their verdict was
read. Coxey, Browne and Jones were
found guilty of the first charge.

At 3:30 P. M. the jury returned to the
courtroom, and their verdict was
read. Coxey, Browne and Jones were
found guilty of the first charge.

At 3:30 P. M. the jury returned to the
courtroom, and their verdict was
read. Coxey, Browne and Jones were
found guilty of the first charge.

At 3:30 P. M. the jury returned to the
courtroom, and their verdict was
read. Coxey, Browne and Jones were
found guilty of the first charge.

At 3:30 P. M. the jury returned to the
courtroom, and their verdict was
read. Coxey, Browne and Jones were
found guilty of the first charge.

At 3:30 P. M. the jury returned to the
courtroom, and their verdict was
read. Coxey, Browne and Jones were
found guilty of the first charge.

At 3:30 P. M. the jury returned to the
courtroom, and their verdict was
read. Coxey, Browne and Jones were
found guilty of the first charge.

At 3:30 P. M. the jury returned to the
courtroom, and their verdict was
read. Coxey, Browne and Jones were
found guilty of the first charge.

At 3:30 P. M. the jury returned to the
courtroom, and their verdict was
read. Coxey, Browne and Jones were
found guilty of the first charge.

At 3:30 P. M. the jury returned to the
courtroom, and their verdict was
read. Coxey, Browne and Jones were
found guilty of the first charge.

At 3:30 P. M. the jury returned to the
courtroom, and their verdict was
read. Coxey, Browne and Jones were
found guilty of the first charge.

At 3:30 P. M. the jury returned to the
courtroom, and their verdict was
read. Coxey, Browne and Jones were
found guilty of the first charge.

At 3:30 P. M. the jury returned to the
courtroom, and their verdict was
read. Coxey, Browne and Jones were
found guilty of the first charge.

BROOKLYN BURGLARIES.

Silks Taken from One Place and
Jewelry from Two Others.

At War with Wealthy Mrs. Hew-
sey Over Writs of Art.

Claims the Paintings Which She
Thought Were Hers.

Judge McAdam, of the Superior Court,
today issued an injunction restraining
Mrs. Mary S. Hewsey from removing
from the ceilings of her residence, 144
Fifth avenue, and selling five valuable
tapestries painted by Tintoretto, the
famous Venetian artist.

The injunction action was brought by
Isaac Cahn, a wealthy retired merchant.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue, formerly
belonged to Mrs. Cahn. On Feb. 15
last an agreement was made with
Mrs. Hewsey, by which she was to re-
lease the house and all the furnishings
for \$40,000.

The sale was subject to a \$10,000 mort-
gage, which Mrs. Hewsey was to as-
sume as part payment. The payment
of the mortgage was to be made in
a second mortgage of \$20,000 on the
house and lot and another mortgage
of \$10,000 on the furniture.

At the time of the sale there was an
agreement that the furniture was to
be sold by Mrs. Hewsey under the same
terms as the house. In this list
were included the five tapestries
which she claimed as her own.

"Science," "Music," "Spring,"
"Winter" and "Summer" were the
titles of the tapestries, which were
valued at \$10,000.

Since then Mrs. Hewsey has decided to
sell the furniture at auction sale, and
the tapestries have been included in
the list of goods to be sold.

Cahn asked for the injunction on the
ground that the tapestries were not
to be sold by Mrs. Hewsey, but by
himself. He claimed that the tapestries
were his property.

The plaintiff, Isaac Cahn, is said to be
a millionaire. He was indicted by the
Grand Jury in March last for assault
on the person of a woman.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

CANN GETS AN INJUNCTION.

At War with Wealthy Mrs. Hew-
sey Over Writs of Art.

Claims the Paintings Which She
Thought Were Hers.

Judge McAdam, of the Superior Court,
today issued an injunction restraining
Mrs. Mary S. Hewsey from removing
from the ceilings of her residence, 144
Fifth avenue, and selling five valuable
tapestries painted by Tintoretto, the
famous Venetian artist.

The injunction action was brought by
Isaac Cahn, a wealthy retired merchant.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue, formerly
belonged to Mrs. Cahn. On Feb. 15
last an agreement was made with
Mrs. Hewsey, by which she was to re-
lease the house and all the furnishings
for \$40,000.

The sale was subject to a \$10,000 mort-
gage, which Mrs. Hewsey was to as-
sume as part payment. The payment
of the mortgage was to be made in
a second mortgage of \$20,000 on the
house and lot and another mortgage
of \$10,000 on the furniture.

At the time of the sale there was an
agreement that the furniture was to
be sold by Mrs. Hewsey under the same
terms as the house. In this list
were included the five tapestries
which she claimed as her own.

"Science," "Music," "Spring,"
"Winter" and "Summer" were the
titles of the tapestries, which were
valued at \$10,000.

Since then Mrs. Hewsey has decided to
sell the furniture at auction sale, and
the tapestries have been included in
the list of goods to be sold.

Cahn asked for the injunction on the
ground that the tapestries were not
to be sold by Mrs. Hewsey, but by
himself. He claimed that the tapestries
were his property.

The plaintiff, Isaac Cahn, is said to be
a millionaire. He was indicted by the
Grand Jury in March last for assault
on the person of a woman.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

The tapestries were valued at \$10,000.
The house at 144 Fifth avenue was
valued at \$40,000.

CHOATES PRESIDENT.

Elected to Preside Over the Con-
stitutional Convention.

He Is the Only One of Diver's
Returned Dupes to Be Tried.

It Is Said He Has Come Back, but
He Hasn't Been Caught.

Joseph P. Humphreys, Mayor Marks
and Isaac Collins, indicted election in-
surrectionists, who fled from New York,
for setting their bail bonds, have returned,
and will not have to stand trial. The
indictments against them will be dis-
missed, and it is probable that bondsmen
or the Diver Association will not lose a penny.

But Edward